

# THE CITY OF LEXINGTON POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ON AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

### STATEMENT OF POLICY

In accordance with the regulations of the State of Nebraska's Community Development Block Grant Program and Federal Fair Housing laws and in furthering The City of Lexington's commitment to nondiscrimination and equal opportunity in housing, the City of Lexington hereby establishes policies and procedures to affirmatively further fair housing within the City. These policies and procedures are intended to further the objectives of Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 and Executive Order 11063.

Execution shall be through the following procedures:

- 1. Publicize that the City will assist persons experiencing discrimination in housing and provide citizens the housing discrimination complaint form upon request;
- 2. Enforcement of policy by reporting all complaints received by the City alleging discrimination of fair housing practices to the Nebraska Equal Opportunity Commission;
- 3. Identify housing counseling services which assist minorities and women seeking housing within the City;
- 4. Use "Equal Housing Opportunity" slogan and logo on City letterhead;
- 5. Publicly advertise the City as a "Fair Housing City";
- 6. Provide a copy of this policy to all local lending institutions and realtors upon request.
- 7. Support a Fair Housing Campaign for Public Awareness.
- 8. Cooperatively host a Fair Housing event.
- 9. Hold a public meeting to create an Action Plan to identify strategies to further affordable housing opportunities in the City.

This policy shall have full force and become effective on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2008.



Joe Pepplitsch, City Manager City of Lexington



#### **EXCESSIVE FORCE CERTIFICATION**

On this 13<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2008, the Mayor, John Fagot, of the City of Lexington does hereby certify to the Nebraska Department of Economic Development that the City of Lexington has adopted and will enforce a policy to prohibit the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individual engaged in nonviolent civil rights demonstration.

John Fagot, Mayor, City of Lexington





# CITY OF LEXINGTON, NEBRASKA PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES AND CODE OF CONDUCT

The City of Lexington, Nebraska will in all cases of procurement for professional services construction services and materials needed for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Programs adhere to Code of Federal Regulation 24 C.F.R. Section 85.36 or current state statutes; in all cases the stricter shall apply. The following procedures summarize said laws and regulations.

- A. Procurement shall be made by one of the following methods:
  - 1) Small Purchase Procedures [24 C.F.R. Section 85.36 (d)(1)] This method will generally be used to obtain small quantities of supplies. Small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, or other property that do not cost more than the simplified acquisition threshold fixed at 41 U.S.C. 403(11) (currently set at \$100,000). If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations shall be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources.
  - 2) Competitive Sealed Bids [24 C.F.R. Section 85.36 (d)(2)] This method will generally be used to obtain contractors for construction projects and for large quantities of goods or materials. Bids are publicly solicited and a firm-fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is the lowest in price.
  - Competitive Proposals [24 C.F.R. Section 85.36 (d)(3)] This method will generally be used to obtain professional services. This method has two subparts—the Request for Proposal and the Request for Qualifications. Request for Proposals - The Request for Proposals (RFP) must clearly and accurately state the technical requirements for the goods and services required: The grantee must publicize the RFP, and to the maximum extent practicable, honor reasonable requests by parties to have an opportunity to compete; Proposals must be solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources, consistent with the nature and requirements of the procurement; The grantee must conduct a technical evaluation of the submitted proposals to identify the responsible offerors; As necessary, the grantee must conduct negotiations with those offerors who are deemed responsive and responsible and fall within a competitive price range, based on the grantee's evaluation of the bidders' pricing and technical proposals. After negotiations, these bidders may be given the opportunity to submit a "best and final" offer; and The grantee must award the contract to the most responsive and responsible offeror after price and other factors are considered through scoring the proposals or "best and final" offers according to predetermined evaluation criteria. The successful proposal/offeror must clearly be the most advantageous source of the goods and services. Request for Qualifications - Grantees and sub-grantees may use competitive proposal procedures for qualifications-based procurement of architectural/engineering (A/E) professional services whereby competitors'

OPPORTUNITY

qualifications are evaluated and the most qualified competitor is selected, subject to negotiation of fair and reasonable compensation. The method, where price is not used as a selection factor, can only be used in procurement of A/E professional services. It cannot be used to purchase other types of services though A/E firms are a potential source to perform the proposed effort.

- 4) Non-Competitive Proposals/Sole Source [24 C.F.R. Section 85.36 (d)(4)] This method will only be used after approval from the Department of Economic Development. When requesting permission to use this method, the grantee will have to show that another method of procurement was not feasible because: the item or service was only available from a single source; a public emergency or condition requiring urgency existed which did not permit the use of competitive procurement; or competition was determined to be inadequate after solicitation of proposals from a number of sources.
- 5) Non-Competitive Proposals/Sole Source [24 C.F.R. Section 85.36 (d)(4)] This method will only be used after approval from the Department of Economic Development. When requesting permission to use this method, the grantee will have to show that another method of procurement was not feasible because: the item or service was only available from a single source; a public emergency or condition requiring urgency existed which did not permit the use of competitive procurement; or competition was determined to be inadequate after solicitation of proposals from a number of sources.
- B. The cost plus a percentage of cost and percentage of construction cost method of contracting shall not be used.
- C. The City of Lexington shall maintain records sufficient to detail the significant history of a procurement. These records shall include at a minimum: rationale for the method of procurement; selection of contract type; contractor selection or rejection; and the basis for the cost or price.
- D. The following contract provisions or conditions shall be included in all procurement contracts and subcontracts:

#### **General Administrative Provisions**

- Effective date of the contract.
- Names and addresses of the firm and the grantee.
- Citation of the authority of the grantee under which the contract is entered into and the source of the funds.
- Conditions and terms under which the contract may be terminated by either party and remedies for violation/breach of contract.
- Procedures for amending or revising the contract.

#### **Scope of Services**

- Detailed description of the extent and character of the work to be performed.
- Time for performance and completion of contract services, including project milestones, if any.
- Specification of materials or other services to be provided (i.e. maps, reports, etc.)

#### **Method of Compensation**

 Provisions for compensation for services including fee and or payment schedules and specification of maximum amount payable under the contract. City of Lexington, Nebraska Procurement Procedures and Code of Conduct Page 3

#### **Federal Standard Provisions**

- Compliance with Executive Order 11246, as amended (Required for service contractors only if the contractor has 50 or more employees and the contract is for more than \$50,000)
- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 clause
- Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 clause
- Section 3 compliance clause (Required only if the contract exceeds \$100,000)
- Access to Records/Maintenance of Records clause
- When required, all construction contracts exceeding \$2,000 shall include provisions for compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act (DBA), the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (CWHSSA), The Copeland Act (Anti-Kickback Act) clause, and the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) clause.

This Code of Conduct shall govern the performance of the elected or appointed officials or member of any board or commission employees or agents of the City of Lexington, Nebraska engaged in the award and administration of contracts supported by Federal funds under Community Development Block Grant.

- I. The provisions and requirements of the *Conflicts of Interest*, at subpart (d) of the Nebraska Political Accountability and Disclosure Act [such subpart (d) encompassing Sections 49-1493 through 49-14,104 of the Nebraska Revised Statutes], are incorporated in this Code of Conduct by this reference. The provisions and requirements of 24 C.F.R. Section 85.36(b)(3) are also incorporated in this Code of Conduct by this reference. The requirements of these Nebraska state statutes and federal regulations will be adhered to, and in the event of a conflict in the requirements of any of such state and federal requirements, the stricter of any conflicting provisions will be adhered to.
- II. No employee, officer or agent of the municipality shall participate in the selection, or in the award or administration of a contract supported by Federal funds if a conflict of interest, real or apparent, would be involved. Such a conflict would arise when an employee or agent; any member of his or her immediate family; his or her partner; or an organization, which employs, or is about to employ, any of the above, has a financial or other interest in the firm selected for award.
- III. The municipal employees, officers or agents shall neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favors or anything of monetary value from contractors, potential contractors, or parties to subcontracts.
- IV. Violations of this Code of Conduct will invoke penalties and sanctions consistent with applicable Federal and State laws.

John Fagot, Mayor	Joe Pepplitsch, City Manager/Clerk
Date	Date

# Nebraska Department of Economic Development

City of Lexington	07-PW-015
CDBG Grantee	CDBG No.
P. O. Box 70; 406 E. 7 <sup>th</sup> Street	
LexingtonNE	68850 Zip Code
Joe Pepplitsch(308)_ Contact Person	
FINANCIAL MANAGEMI	ENT CERTIFICATION
Check "Yes" or "No" in the column to the left to indicate if statements:	your financial management system complies with these
YES NO 1. Does the financial management system provide for:	YES NO 2. Are the individuals who are responsible for the financial management of the CDBG:
$ √$ $ \Box $ (a) proper recording and accounting for all CDBG receiption	ots? ✓□(a) familiar with OMB Circular A-102
$\checkmark\Box$ (b) control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets?	and A-87 and Treasury Circular 1075?  ✓□(b) aware that failure to comply with
$\checkmark\Box$ (c) records that identify the source and use of funds?	these regulations will result in audit findings and the repayment of ineligible costs to the Department of Economic Development?
$\checkmark\Box$ (d) the expenditure of CDBG funds within ten days of the receipt of funds?	Department of Economic Development:
$\checkmark\Box$ (e) the application of program income to the CDBG fund?	I certify that the above responses are an accurate indication of the status of the financial management system which will be
$\checkmark\Box$ (f) the disbursing of program income prior to making Block grant additional drawdowns?	used for the Community Development Grant Funds.
$\checkmark\Box$ (g) accounting records that are supported by source documents	
$\checkmark\Box$ (h) a comparison of actual expenditures with amounts budgeted for activities within the grant?	SIGNATURE OF City Manager
✓□ (i) audits to be conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-128	Joe _Pepplitsch PRINTED NAME
$\checkmark\Box$ (j) a method which assures timely and appropriate resolutions of audit findings and resolutions?	DATE
✓□ (k) audits of non-profit sub-recipients to be conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133?	n



# AUTHORIZATION TO REQUEST CDBG GRANT FUNDS

This is to Certify thatJoe Pepplitsch_	and	Pam Berke	are
authorized to request CDBG Funds for CD	BG Grant No. 07	-PW-015 and th	at the
signatures appearing below are the true sig	natures of the afo	rementioned inc	lividuals.
SIGNATURES OF AUTHORIZED OF	FICIALS		
Signature	Signatur	·e	
Joe Pepplitsch Typed Name	Pam Typed N	Berke	
•			
City Clerk/Manager Title	Depu Title	ity City Clerk	
Date			
Date	Date		



# ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW RECORD

GRANT NUMBER: 07-PW-015

PROJECT NAME: Lexington Monroe Street Improvement Project

# **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW RECORD CHECKLIST**

COMPONENTS	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS
Brief Project Description	✓			
Finding of Exemption or Categorical Exclusion Determinations		<b>✓</b>		
3. Statutory Checklist: Environmental Requirements Other Than NEPA. (For all Cat. Excl. Projects, including Cat. Excl. Projects determined to be exempt pursuant to 58.34(a)12, and projects requiring EA or EIS)	<b>✓</b>			
4. Environmental Assessment Checklist			$\checkmark$	
5. Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact as published			<b>_</b>	
6. Notice of Intent to Request a Release of Funds as published				
7. Combined FONSI/RROF as posted/published			<b>✓</b>	
8. a. Distribution List of FONSI b. Distribution List of RROF c. Distribution List of FONSI/RROF	<b>✓</b>			
Any comments received and recipient responses	$\checkmark$			
10. Request for Release of Funds/Certification submitted	<b>✓</b>			
11. Notice of Removal of Grant Condition/Release of Funds				
12. Post-Review Revisions and Changes, Written Decisions, Amendments, and Supplements				
13. Continued Compliance(58.47)  Determination				
1. EIS documentation required by 58.55-60			✓	

# **PART I**

# PROJECT ABSTRACT

Project Information: Deb Jensen

Address: P. O. Box 106, Cozad, NE 69130

Grant Number: 07-PW-015
Month/Year From: March 10, 2008 To: March 10, 2010 Original  Revisions/Amendments:
Name of Responsible Entity: City of Lexington
Typed Name and Title of Certifying Officer:  Joe Pepplitsch, City Manager
Typed Name, Title, Agency of Preparer: Deb Jensen, Comm. Devel. Coor., Dawson Area Development —
Project Name: City of Lexington Monroe Street Project
Locations of Physical Development(s):
Recipient: City of Lexington  Address: 406 E. 7th Street Lexington, NE 68850
Project Representative: Joe Pepplitsch  Address: Same  Telephone: 308-324-2341

Telephone: 308-784-3902

## STATUTORY CHECKLIST

Project Name and Identification No						
Α.	Are all activities of this project <b>Exempt</b> from NEPA procedures? Yes No. If "Yes" attach Finding with citations to applicable subsection of 58.34(a)(1)-(11). Sign and date below and keep this form in the project ERR. Do not initiate RROF procedures. If "No" proceed to question B.					
В.	<ul> <li>B. 1. Is this a Categorically Excluded [58.35(b)] project not subject to 58.5? Yes No. If "Yes" attach Finding with citations to appropriate subsections of 58.35(b). Fill out the checklist, sign and date below and keep this form in the ERR. Do not initiate RROF procedures. If "No" to question B2.</li> <li>2. Is this a Categorically Excluded project [58.35(a)] subject to 58.5? Yes No. If "Yes" respond to question B3. If "No" the</li> </ul>					
	question C.  3. Does project trigger compliance measures with 58.5? Ves No. If "Yes" attach Finding with citations to applicable subsection 58.35(a) and perform all actions as per relevant compliance requirements. Fill out the checklist, sign and date below and keep this form in the ERR. Initiate RROF procedures. If "No", attach Finding of Exemption with citation to subsection 58.34(a)(12). Fill out the checklist, sign and date below and keep this form in the ERR. Do not initiate RROF procedures.					
C.	Does this project require an <b>Environmental Assessment</b> (EA) to If "Yes" fill out the Statutory and Assessment Checklists, sign and date checklists, make them part of the project ERR. After the EA has been completed, and all determinations and compliance processes have been completed, RROF procedures can be initiated.					
For each compliance area listed below, record the determination(s) made: 1 Compliance (record either <b>WHY</b> the project does not implicate the authority <u>or</u> the supporting information documenting <b>HOW</b> compliance has been achieved; 2 Consultation/ Review Required; 3 Permit Required; 4 Determination of Consistency, Approval & Permit Obtained; 5 Conditions or Mitigation Required. Note reviews and consultations completed as well as any applicable permits or approvals obtained. Attach evidence that all required actions have been taken. Record any conditions or mitigation measures required.						
R	REA OF STATUTORY OR EGULATORY COMPLIANCE	Code	References to notes providing documentation, sources and explanation of determinations made. Attach additional information as necessary.			
Н	storic Properties	1	NE State Historical responded that there is no effect on archaeological, architectural, or historic properties			
FI	oodplain Management*	1	No impact per response from NE Department of Natural Resources			
W	etland Protection	1	Not subject to regulatory authorities and no permit is needed, per U.S. Army Corp of Engineers			
N	Noise Control 1		This project will have short-term construction noise, nothing that will effect long-term neighborhood noise level.			
Ai	r Quality	1	NE Dept. of Environmental Quality has no comments, no air quality issues with this project.			
E:	plosive and Flammable Operations	1	No reply from NE State Fire Marshall, 30 day comment period over.			
Ai	rport Hazards*	1	Structure replacement (street) presents no hazard to local airport authoriites.			
Ŵ	ater Quality (Sole Source Aquifers)	1	NE Dept. of Environmental Quality has no comments, no water quality issues with this project.			
C	pastal Zone Management	1	No Coastal resources in Nebraska			
Ei	ndangered Species	1	NE Game and Parks response is that the project will have no adverse effects.			
W	ild & Scenic Rivers	1	National Park Service response was no comment, this project is not close to any rivers.			
F	armlands Protection	1	USDA-NRCS determined that this project is clear of any FPPA concerns.			
E	nvironmental Justice	1	No concerns to the local environment will be evident in this project			
C	ontamination & Toxic Substances	2	No contamination by construction is expected as this time, will be monitored as construction progresses.			
Pro	tection Act and the Buyer Disclosure rec	prifements of the	ce with 24 CFR 58.6 (Flood Insurance requirements of the Flood Disaster HUD Airport Runway Clear Zone/Clear Zone regulation at 24 CFR 51B).			
	,	ne				
Ce	rtifying Officer-Signature:		Title: Date: May 15, 2000			

